# Department of Fisheries Directorate of Fisheries

### Order

# No. 2-1-81-FSH

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub--sections (1) and (2) of Section 4 of the Goa Marine Fishing Regulation Act, 1980 (Act No. 3 of 1981), the Government of Goa, having regard to the need to conserve fish, hereby prohibits fishing by fishing vessels fitted with mechanical means of propulsion and by means of trawl-net and purse-seine net, except fishing by registered motorized canoes using gill nets only, and fitted with outboard or inboard motors, of upto 10 HP capacity, as a means of propulsion, along the sea coast of the State of Goa and the territorial waters of the State of Goa, with effect from 1st June, 2024 till 31st July, 2024 (both days inclusive).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. *Shamila Monteiro*, Director and ex officio/Joint Secretary (Fisheries).

Panaji, 8th May, 2024.

\_\_\_\_\_♦♦♦\_\_\_\_ Department of Labour

# Order

#### No. 28/38/2024-LAB/290

Whereas, the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Gomantak Private Limited, Gomantak Bhavan, St. Inez, Panaji, Goa and it's workman, Shri Vithal Sukhadkar, represented by the Goa Union of Journalists, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto;

And whereas, the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under Section 7A of the said Act.

# SCHEDULE

 Whether the action of the management of M/s. Gomantak Private Limited, Gomantak Bhavan, St. Inez, Panaji, Goa, in refusing salary and arrears to Shri Vithal Sukhadkar, Reporter/ /Journalist, as per recommendation of Majithia Wage Board, is legal and justified?

(2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Asha Harmalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 8th May, 2024.

## Order

# No. 28/41/2024-LAB/291

Whereas, the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Indoco Remedies Limited, Plant-II, L-32, 33, 34, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Goa and it's workman, Shri Sainath Patil, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto;

And whereas, the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court-II of Goa at Panaji-Goa, constituted under sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the said Act.

#### SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Indoco Remedies Limited, Plant-II, L-32, 33, 34, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Goa, in dismissing, Shri Sainath Patil, with effect from 03-06-2022, is legal and justified?
- (2) If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?.
  - By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Asha Harmalkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 8th May, 2024.

#### Order

#### No. 28/40/2024-LAB/292

Whereas, the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Watson Pharma Private Limited, Plot No. A3 to A6, Phase I-A, Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Goa and it's workman, Shri Rahul Rajendra Parab, in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto;